International Journal of Multidisciplinary Science and Innovation (IJMSI)
International Conference on Advances in Physical, Chemical and Mathematical Sciences for Sustainable Development
Organized by
D.M.S. Mandal's Bhaurao Kakatkar College, Belgaum, Karnataka, India
Website: https://ijmsi.in/
ISSN: 3107-5754 I Vol. 2, Special Issue 1, 2026 I Page No.: 01-11

Review

A Review Article on Electrochemical Supercapacitors: Materials Innovations, Performance Limits, and Commercial Realities

Vaibhav D. Patake[1*] Avadhut Manage[2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Rani Channamma University, Belagavi, Karnataka, India*
[2]*Department of Physics, D.M.S. Mandal's Bhaurao Kakatkar College, Belgaum, Karnataka, India*

Corresponding Author:
Vaibhav D. Patake

Email: *vdp.vaibhav@gmail.com*

DOI: *10.62896/ijmsi.2.s1.01*

Conflict of interest: *NIL*

Article History
Received: 08/06/2026
Accepted: 16/06/2026
Published: 20/06/2026

Abstract:
Growing pressure on the global energy system to accommodate a higher share of intermittent renewables has intensified interest in electrochemical storage devices that can absorb and release power on demand within fractions of a second. Supercapacitors fill exactly that role, storing charge through ion adsorption at high-surface-area electrodes or through fast, surface-confined redox reactions, rather than the slower bulk intercalation chemistry that governs battery operation. The result is a device class with power densities one to two orders of magnitude above those of lithium-ion batteries, cycle lives routinely exceeding one hundred thousand charge-discharge events, and charge times measured in seconds rather than hours. What supercapacitors trade away is volumetric and gravimetric energy density, and closing that gap without sacrificing the power and longevity advantages that make these devices useful is the defining challenge of the field today. This review traces the evolution of supercapacitor electrode chemistry from early activated-carbon and electrodeposited metal oxide thin-film systems [5,6,7,8] through graphene- and carbon nanotube-based architectures to the MXene composites and metal-organic framework derivatives that now push laboratory energy densities toward battery territory. It also examines electrolyte development, from aqueous and organic solutions to ionic liquid gels that enable flexible, wearable devices, and discusses candidly the manufacturing, cost, and device-level engineering obstacles that stand between current laboratory performance and broad commercial deployment.

Keywords: supercapacitors, EDLC, pseudocapacitance, RuO_2, CuO, MXene, graphene, ionic liquid electrolyte, energy density

1. Introduction

Fossil fuel combustion still supplies roughly four-fifths of global primary energy, yet grid operators in Europe, India, and North America are already managing situations where solar and wind generation temporarily exceeds demand on their networks. Storing that surplus electricity economically and retrieving it quickly whenever the wind drops or the sun sets is not simply a technical preference; it is becoming a grid-stability necessity. Batteries handle long-duration storage reasonably well, but their charge-acceptance rates and cycle durability are poorly matched to the rapid, repeated charge-discharge events that characterize frequency regulation, regenerative braking, and short-burst industrial loads. It is precisely these applications

where supercapacitors have demonstrated durable commercial value over the past three decades, and where recent advances in materials chemistry are opening entirely new application windows.

Historically, the electrochemical capacitor concept was shaped in the mid-twentieth century by work at General Electric and Standard Oil of Ohio, whose engineers recognized that an enormous amount of charge could be stored non-faradaically at the surface of a high-area carbon electrode [1]. Industrial development picked up in the 1990s as activated-carbon double-layer capacitors found their way into uninterruptible power supplies and, later, into hybrid buses and rail systems where they captured braking energy that would otherwise be lost as heat. Patake and colleagues at Rani Channamma University approached the electrode problem from a different angle, investigating what electrodeposition and wet-chemical synthesis could deliver using ruthenium oxide and copper oxide thin films rather than porous carbons [5,6,7,8]. Their work on surface-treated RuO_2 films [5] and amorphous CuO deposits [6] showed clearly that capacitance is governed as much by interfacial chemistry and film porosity as by bulk material properties, a principle that has since been rediscovered repeatedly in graphene, MXene, and MOF-derived electrode systems.

Today the global supercapacitor market sits at roughly US$3.6 billion and is expected to grow at a compound annual rate close to twenty percent through the early 2030s, driven by the electrification of transport, expansion of distributed renewable generation, and rapid proliferation of wearable electronics [3,4]. Energy densities that once barely reached 5 Wh/kg for activated-carbon devices now approach 50 to 80 Wh/kg in advanced hybrid configurations combining battery-type faradaic electrodes with capacitive counter-electrodes. That progress is real, but so is the remaining distance from the 150 to 250 Wh/kg that lithium-ion battery packs routinely deliver. How that gap might be closed, and what obstacles remain even if the materials chemistry succeeds, is the subject of this review.

2. Charge Storage Mechanisms and Device Types

Three distinct charge-storage mechanisms are recognized in the supercapacitor literature, and the practical performance of any given device reflects which mechanism or combination of mechanisms its electrode and electrolyte materials support.

2.1 Electrostatic Double-Layer Storage

When a polarizable electrode is immersed in an electrolyte and a potential is applied, ions from the solution migrate toward the charged surface and form a compact adsorption layer stabilized by electrostatic attraction. No chemical bond forms and no electron crosses the interface, so the process is in principle infinitely reversible and limited in rate only by how quickly ions can diffuse through the electrolyte to reach the electrode. Capacitance per unit geometric area is modest, roughly 15 to 40 $\mu F/cm^2$ for typical carbon surfaces, but the enormous total surface area of activated carbon, which may exceed 2000 m^2 per gram of material, translates that modest areal capacitance into gravimetric values of 100 to 300 F/g at moderate discharge rates [9]. The mechanism is also inherently temperature-tolerant, since it depends on ion mobility rather than on thermally sensitive redox kinetics.

2.2 Pseudo capacitance and Surface Redox

In pseudo capacitors the current-voltage response resembles that of a capacitor but the underlying physics are faradaic: electrons cross the electrode-electrolyte interface via fast, reversible redox reactions confined to the electrode surface or a thin sub-surface layer. Hydrous RuO_2 is the textbook example, offering proton insertion and extraction across three oxidation states within a 1.2 V window and delivering specific capacitances above 700 F/g when the oxide film is fully hydrated and sufficiently thin to allow proton access throughout [5,7]. Electrodeposition, the method used by Patake, Lokhande, and Joo, is well suited to producing the amorphous, hydrated oxide films where this proton accessibility is highest; their careful control of deposition bath composition and post-deposition thermal treatment demonstrated that a relatively modest change in surface chemistry can alter specific capacitance by thirty percent or more [5]. The same group extended this logic to copper oxide, showing that porous, amorphous CuO films grown electrochemically store charge through a Cu(I)/Cu(II)

surface redox couple that, while less spectacular than RuO_2 in absolute capacitance, offers a pathway to cost-effective pseudocapacitive electrodes from an earth-abundant metal [6]. Subsequent investigation of the nucleation and growth sequence in anodically deposited RuO_2 clarified how early-stage island growth transitions to a continuous film and how that transition sets the final film porosity and therefore the usable charge-storage volume [8].

2.3 Hybrid and Battery-Supercapacitor Systems

Neither pure EDLC nor pure pseudo capacitor designs satisfy all application requirements simultaneously. Hybrid devices therefore couple a high-energy battery-type faradaic electrode with a high-power capacitive counter-electrode in the same cell, widening the accessible voltage window and exploiting the square-law dependence of stored energy on voltage to deliver specific energies of 30 to 100 Wh/kg while retaining charge-discharge times under one minute and cycle lives of tens of thousands of cycles [11,12]. Lithium-ion capacitors and zinc-ion hybrid supercapacitors are commercially relevant examples of this philosophy applied to aqueous and non-aqueous electrolyte systems respectively.

3. Electrode Material Families

Every significant advance in supercapacitor energy density over the past twenty years has originated from a change in electrode material. What follows is a synthesis of where each major material family stands today and what it contributes to or detracts from practical device performance.

3.1 Activated Carbon and Porous Carbon Architectures

Activated carbon holds its position as the default commercial electrode material for reasons that have little to do with electrochemical sophistication. It is cheap, it is produced at scale from biomass and coal-tar precursors, its surface chemistry can be adjusted with heat or chemical treatment, and its specific surface area is high enough that even modest areal capacitance values produce useful gravimetric capacitance. The limitation is that the micropore network responsible for most of that surface area is also partially inaccessible to larger electrolyte ions, particularly in organic electrolytes, so rate performance deteriorates sharply when cell voltage is raised to extend energy density [9].

Hierarchically structured carbons that deliberately combine macropores for electrolyte transport, mesopores for ion storage, and micropores for maximum surface area attempt to address this rate limitation by shortening the diffusion path from the bulk electrolyte to the storage site [14]. Graphene, in its idealized form as a defect-free single atomic layer of sp^2 carbon, offers a theoretical specific surface area of 2630 m^2/g together with excellent in-plane conductivity, properties that made it one of the most intensively studied electrode materials of the 2010s. Practical graphene electrodes fall short of that ideal because van der Waals forces cause adjacent sheets to aggregate, burying interior surface. Hybrid architectures that use carbon nanotubes or conducting polymer spacers to keep graphene layers separated have recovered much of that lost area while also adding pseudocapacitive contributions to total charge storage [13].

3.2 Ruthenium Oxide, Copper Oxide, and Related Metal Oxides

Among transition metal oxides, RuO_2 set an early performance benchmark that no inexpensive material has yet matched. Specific capacitances above 700 F/g arise from proton insertion across multiple Ru oxidation states in a hydrous oxide matrix, but commercial adoption has been blocked by ruthenium cost and environmental concerns. The electrodeposited RuO_2 thin-film work of Patake, Lokhande, and Joo established that surface treatment after deposition, whether thermal annealing or acid etching, fundamentally restructures the oxide surface and controls how many redox-active sites participate in charge storage at practical scan rates [5]. A purely chemical route to nanoporous RuO_2 films, reported by the same group, showed that solution-based synthesis could replicate the morphological features associated with high capacitance without requiring electrochemical equipment [7], a finding that strengthened the case for wet-chemical synthesis as a viable manufacturing route for thin-film pseudocapacitive electrodes.

Copper oxide emerged from this body of work as an economically attractive alternative. Electrodeposition from alkaline copper sulfate baths yielded porous, amorphous CuO films whose open morphology and high internal surface area enabled measurable pseudocapacitive response through the Cu(I)/Cu(II) couple [6]. Although absolute capacitance values for CuO are lower than those of RuO_2, the orders-of-magnitude difference in raw material cost makes CuO relevant whenever cost-per-farad rather than capacitance-per-gram is the governing figure of merit. The mechanistic study of anodic RuO_2 growth further showed that controlling the early deposition stages, where nuclei density and inter-nuclei spacing are set, is the lever most directly linked to final film porosity and hence to capacitive performance [8].

Manganese dioxide has attracted even wider research attention owing to its low cost, theoretical capacitance near 1370 F/g, and non-toxicity. Practical achievement of that theoretical value is blocked by MnO_2's low electronic conductivity, which confines useful redox activity to the outer few nanometers of thick films and limits gravimetric capacitance in practical electrodes to 100 to 400 F/g [15]. Nickel and cobalt oxides and layered double hydroxides push specific capacity higher but introduce their own stability concerns, since the phase transitions associated with $Ni(OH)_2$ to NiOOH conversion generate volume changes that fracture electrode films over extended cycling [16].

3.3 Conducting Polymers

Polyaniline, polypyrrole, and PEDOT occupy a middle ground between inorganic metal oxides and carbon materials. They store charge through bulk doping and de-doping rather than purely surface reactions, which in principle allows thicker electrode films to participate in charge storage than is feasible with metal oxides whose low conductivity limits depth of penetration. Specific capacitances of 400 to 700 F/g are achievable with PANI in acidic aqueous electrolytes, and the material can be deposited or grown from inexpensive precursors by both chemical and electrochemical routes. The persistent weakness is dimensional instability: every doping cycle involves ion insertion and expulsion that causes the polymer matrix to swell and contract, eventually delaminating films from current collectors or introducing cracks that increase resistance and reduce accessible capacitance. Embedding the polymer within a rigid carbon scaffold or coating a metal oxide surface with a thin conducting polymer layer distributes the mechanical strain and has extended cycle life considerably [17].

3.4 MXenes

The 2011 discovery that HF treatment of Ti_3AlC_2 selectively removed aluminum layers to yield accordion-like multilayer $Ti_3C_2T_x$ sheets opened a new materials family for supercapacitor research [18]. MXenes combine metallic electronic conductivity, measured above 6000 S/cm for $Ti_3C_2T_x$ films, with a hydrophilic, ion-accessible surface chemistry arising from the oxygen, hydroxyl, and fluorine groups introduced during etching. Charge storage proceeds by intercalation of protons or metal cations between the two-dimensional layers, a process that can be understood as a form of pseudocapacitance intrinsic to the layered structure rather than confined to an external surface. Composite electrodes in which $Ti_3C_2T_x$ is combined with metal oxides or conducting polymers have delivered specific capacitances exceeding 1300 mF/cm² and gravimetric energy densities in the 40 to 50 Wh/kg range in full-cell measurements [19,20]. The restacking of MXene sheets under van der Waals forces, analogous to graphene aggregation but amplified by the greater sheet thickness and weight, reduces the effective interlayer spacing available for ion intercalation and is the central materials engineering problem in this family. Three-dimensional MXene architectures constructed by freeze-drying, templating, or combining MXene nanosheets with carbon nanotube spacers preserve interlayer distance and maintain accessible capacitance over thousands of cycles [21].

3.5 Metal-Organic Frameworks and Pyrolysis-Derived Electrodes

Metal-organic frameworks bring crystallographically precise pore geometries and surface areas routinely above 3000 m²/g to the electrode design problem. Most pristine MOFs are electronic insulators, which largely disqualifies them as stand-alone electrode materials, but controlled pyrolysis converts MOF templates into nitrogen-doped porous carbons or

metal/metal oxide composites whose pore geometry inherits the regularity of the parent crystalline framework while acquiring useful conductivity from the carbonized linker network [22]. The inherited pore regularity means that ion sieving effects, which cause activated carbons to underperform with larger electrolyte ions, can in principle be designed away by selecting MOF precursors whose pore apertures match the electrolyte of interest. This level of structural precision represents an attractive design freedom that conventional carbon activation cannot offer.

4. Electrolyte Chemistry and Its Role in Device Performance

The electrolyte is the component most frequently overlooked in comparisons of supercapacitor electrode materials, yet it sets the ceiling on achievable voltage and therefore on energy density more decisively than electrode capacitance alone. A doubling of cell voltage quadruples stored energy at the same capacitance. Electrolyte selection also determines operating temperature range, safety during failure, ionic conductivity, and viscosity, all of which affect rate performance and power density.

4.1 Aqueous Systems

Sulfuric acid, potassium hydroxide, and neutral sulfate or nitrate solutions constitute the three main classes of aqueous electrolyte used in supercapacitors. All three deliver ionic conductivities between 0.5 and 1.0 S/cm, roughly ten to fifty times higher than typical organic electrolytes, which translates directly into lower equivalent series resistance and higher achievable power density at a given electrode thickness. The electrochemical window is constrained by water's thermodynamic decomposition potential near 1.23 V, which in practice limits symmetric EDLC cells to around 1.0 V before gas evolution becomes problematic. Concentrated water-in-salt electrolytes, in which the molar ratio of salt to water exceeds unity, suppress water activity so effectively that the practical stability window extends to 2.0 to 3.0 V, providing an attractive route to higher energy density in aqueous systems without the flammability hazards of organic solvents [23]. For the RuO_2 and CuO thin-film systems studied by Patake and colleagues, the sulfuric acid electrolyte is particularly relevant because proton transport, which is exceptionally fast in aqueous media, enables the full depth of the oxide film to participate in redox charge storage at practical scan rates.

4.2 Organic Electrolytes

Tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate dissolved in acetonitrile or propylene carbonate remains the electrolyte system of choice in nearly all commercial activated-carbon supercapacitors, for one overriding reason: a stable electrochemical window of 2.5 to 2.8 V multiplies stored energy by approximately five to eight relative to an equivalent aqueous cell of the same capacitance. The manufacturing consequences of that choice are significant. Organic solvents are flammable and absorb atmospheric moisture rapidly, so cell assembly must be performed in rigorously controlled dry-room or glove-box environments that add substantially to production cost. At elevated temperatures the solvent decomposition rate increases exponentially, and in thermal runaway scenarios organic electrolyte supercapacitors can vent flammable vapors, a failure mode absent from aqueous systems [24].

4.3 Ionic Liquids

Room-temperature ionic liquids are composed entirely of ions, with no molecular solvent component. Their vapor pressure is negligible, they do not ignite under normal conditions, and the electrochemical window of some imidazolium and pyrrolidinium salts spans 4 to 6 V, which theoretically enables energy densities ten to twenty times above those of aqueous systems at identical capacitance. In practice, viscosities one to two orders of magnitude above those of organic solvents impede ion transport into narrow electrode pores, particularly at temperatures below 20°C where the viscosity-temperature curve rises steeply, and equivalent series resistance values in ionic liquid devices tend to be much higher than in organic electrolyte cells unless electrode microstructure is carefully engineered to accommodate larger, slower-moving ions [25]. Production cost, which remains high relative to both aqueous and organic alternatives, further restricts ionic liquids to specialty or premium applications for now.

4.4 Gel Polymer Electrolytes for Flexible Devices

Wearable health monitors, electronic skin patches, and textile-integrated power sources present a set of requirements that neither rigid coin cells nor cylindrical wound capacitors can satisfy: the energy storage component must bend, twist, and stretch with the human body while maintaining performance and preventing electrolyte leakage onto skin. Gel polymer electrolytes address these requirements by immobilizing a liquid electrolyte, whether aqueous, organic, or ionic liquid, within a crosslinked polymer network of polyvinyl alcohol, polyacrylamide, or an ionogel matrix [26,27]. The polymer framework suppresses leakage and provides mechanical compliance, while the retained liquid phase maintains ionic conductivity typically in the range of 1 to 50 mS/cm depending on salt concentration and polymer type. Ionic liquid-based ionogel electrolytes extend the voltage window of flexible devices to 3 V or beyond and broaden the operating temperature range compared to aqueous gels, which freeze below 0°C [28]. Self-healing capability, achieved by incorporating dynamic covalent or hydrogen-bonding networks into the gel scaffold, has been demonstrated in several recent systems, allowing mechanical damage to close autonomously and restore electrochemical performance over multiple healing cycles. The key remaining challenge is that high ionic conductivity, wide electrochemical window, mechanical compliance, and compatibility with high-capacitance electrode surfaces are individually achievable but have not yet been combined in a single robust formulation at manufacturing scale [29].

5. Application Landscape

Commercial deployment of supercapacitors today concentrates in applications where high power, short duration, and durability are simultaneously important, precisely the operating regime where batteries perform poorly. Regenerative braking systems in urban rail, light rail, and hybrid buses represent the largest installed base. When a vehicle brakes, the kinetic energy that would otherwise be converted to heat in friction brakes is instead converted to electricity and stored in a bank of supercapacitor cells mounted in the vehicle or at trackside stations. That stored energy is then returned to the drivetrain during acceleration, reducing net energy consumption by 20 to 40 percent on frequent-stop urban routes. Skeleton Technologies, Maxwell Technologies, and a handful of other manufacturers supply this market with activated-carbon or graphene-enhanced cell stacks that have been in field service long enough to validate the claimed cycle-life advantages over batteries [4].

Industrial cranes and port equipment use supercapacitors in the same way: kinetic energy recovered during container lowering is stored and returned during lifting, cutting peak power draw from the grid and reducing electrical infrastructure costs. In wind turbines, supercapacitor modules provide pitch control backup power, ensuring that blade angle can be adjusted rapidly if grid power is interrupted during a storm event. Data centers and telecommunications infrastructure use supercapacitor-backed UPS systems where the burst power capability and freedom from battery replacement cycles are valued economically.

Consumer electronics and wearable devices constitute a newer and rapidly growing application category. Camera systems that need brief bursts of power for flash or autofocus actuators, smart meters that must transmit data periodically from otherwise passive circuits, and medical implants that harvest physiological energy and store it locally for sporadic transmission all benefit from supercapacitor cycle durability and form factor flexibility. Printed and laser-scribed micro-supercapacitors with interdigitated electrode geometries are being evaluated for direct integration onto flexible printed circuit boards, potentially eliminating the dedicated energy storage component as a separate packaged part.

6. Persistent Challenges

6.1 The Energy Density Gap

Stored energy is proportional to cell capacitance multiplied by the square of cell voltage. Doubling capacitance doubles energy; doubling voltage quadruples it. From this arithmetic, the two principal strategies for raising energy density are evident: develop higher-capacitance electrode materials, which is where most academic research effort has gone, or widen the operating voltage window, which depends primarily on electrolyte stability. Neither strategy has yet produced a commercially viable device that

competes with lithium-iron-phosphate batteries in energy density while retaining the power density and cycle-life advantages that distinguish supercapacitors. The electrodeposited RuO_2 and CuO thin-film electrodes developed by Patake and co-workers [5,6,7,8] demonstrated that pseudocapacitive faradaic reactions can contribute capacitance values several times above what double-layer storage alone provides, and the MXene- and MOF-derived materials that have followed build on that conceptual foundation. Bridging the remaining gap will most likely require hybrid architectures that combine pseudocapacitive charge storage with capacitive charge storage in asymmetric full-cell configurations rather than seeking further optimization of individual electrode chemistries in half-cell tests.

6.2 Self-Discharge

A supercapacitor charged to full voltage and then left on open circuit typically loses half that voltage within hours to days, depending on electrode material, electrolyte, and temperature. The mechanisms responsible are debated in the literature and likely differ between device types, but three contributions are generally recognized: direct faradaic leakage through electrolyte impurities that shuttle charge between electrodes, charge redistribution within porous electrodes as ions migrate from narrow micropores to wider mesopores after current interruption, and slow electrochemical reactions at the electrode surface involving trace contaminants. All three are worsened by elevated temperature. Self-discharge is largely irrelevant for applications where the device cycles continuously, such as a regenerative braking system, but it disqualifies supercapacitors from long-duration storage roles and forces the use of voltage monitoring circuitry in applications where stored charge must be preserved over hours [30].

6.3 Manufacturing Cost and Scalability

Laboratory-scale demonstrations of high-performance electrode materials frequently rely on synthesis steps that are impractical at production volumes. Hydrothermal synthesis under controlled atmosphere, freeze-drying of three-dimensional MXene aerogels, and atomic-layer deposition of conformal metal oxide films may each produce outstanding electrochemical metrics in small samples while costing hundreds of dollars per gram of electrode material. Electrodeposition, by contrast, is a genuinely scalable technique that has been used industrially for more than a century and is readily applied to large substrate areas at low per-unit cost. The relevance of the electrodeposition work by Patake and colleagues [5,6,7,8] extends beyond the specific RuO_2 and CuO systems studied because it established design principles applicable to any pseudocapacitive metal oxide that can be deposited from solution: control of nucleation density, film porosity, and post-deposition surface chemistry provides a toolkit transferable to nickel oxide, iron oxide, vanadium oxide, and mixed-metal systems now under active investigation. The average cost per farad of commercially available supercapacitor cells fell from roughly $0.12 in 2019 to around $0.05 by 2023, a trend driven mainly by activated-carbon production economies and cell design improvements [4]. Sustaining that trend while incorporating higher-performance electrode materials is the manufacturing challenge the industry now faces.

6.4 Voltage Management in Multi-Cell Systems

Single supercapacitor cells operate at voltages of 2.5 to 3.0 V in organic electrolytes, far below the hundreds of volts needed for traction applications. Series connection of many cells is therefore unavoidable in vehicle and grid storage modules. Cell-to-cell variation in capacitance, equivalent series resistance, and self-discharge rate, even when cells come from the same production batch, causes unequal voltage distribution across the stack during charging. Cells at the high end of the voltage distribution reach their electrochemical stability limit before the stack as a whole is fully charged, accelerating degradation and reducing usable energy. Active balancing circuits that continuously monitor individual cell voltages and redistribute charge between high and low cells solve the imbalance problem but add component cost, weight, and failure modes of their own. Tighter manufacturing tolerances reduce but never eliminate the need for some balancing scheme, making voltage management a permanent feature of multi-cell system design [31].

6.5 Temperature Performance

Consumer and automotive applications routinely expose energy storage devices to temperatures ranging from minus 30°C in winter parking lots to plus 65°C under hood or in direct desert sun. Organic electrolyte supercapacitors handle this range reasonably well, since the electrolyte remains liquid and reasonably conductive across most of it, though conductivity falls by a factor of three to four from 25°C to minus 30°C. Ionic liquid devices deteriorate more sharply at low temperature because of the steep viscosity increase of most ionic liquids below their glass transition or pour point. Aqueous devices cannot operate below 0°C without freezing unless antifreeze additives are incorporated, and elevated temperatures accelerate oxidative degradation of carbon electrode surfaces. Flame-retardant ionogel electrolytes that maintain ionic conductivity across a wide temperature range and simultaneously eliminate the flammability hazard of organic solvents represent a promising approach to the thermal management problem [32], but their conductivity at sub-zero temperatures still lags significantly behind that of organic electrolyte systems at the same temperature.

7. Future Directions

The near-term trajectory of supercapacitor research is most clearly indicated by where the performance gaps identified above are smallest and the solutions most technically accessible. Hybrid device architectures that combine a zinc or lithium-ion faradaic anode with a high-surface-area carbon cathode are already commercial in some form, and the next generation of such devices will likely incorporate transition metal oxide or MXene cathode materials that offer higher energy density without abandoning aqueous electrolytes and their associated safety, cost, and sustainability advantages [33]. The electrodeposition methodology developed by Patake and colleagues for RuO_2 and CuO [5,6,7,8] is directly applicable to the thin-film mixed-metal oxide and sulfide cathodes now being explored for aqueous hybrid supercapacitors, since the structural and surface chemistry principles governing pseudocapacitive performance in those systems are the same as those elucidated for the simpler binary oxides two decades ago.

Machine learning is beginning to accelerate electrode and electrolyte discovery by identifying composition-structure-property relationships across large experimental datasets that would take decades to traverse through conventional one-variable-at-a-time experimentation. Density functional theory calculations of quantum capacitance and ion intercalation thermodynamics in MXene family members have already guided experimental synthesis toward compositions with higher predicted capacitance, and similar computational screening approaches are being applied to MOF-derived carbon structures and ionic liquid mixtures. The integration of computation, high-throughput synthesis, and automated electrochemical testing in closed-loop optimization workflows is likely to reduce the time from materials concept to validated prototype from years to months.

Biodegradable supercapacitors represent a different kind of frontier, motivated by the growing volume of electronic waste generated by single-use and limited-life consumer devices. Cellulose and chitin-derived gel electrolytes, combined with carbon electrodes made from agricultural waste precursors, can now deliver specific capacitances above 150 F/g with cycle stability adequate for wearable health monitor lifetimes, and they decompose in composting conditions within months rather than persisting in landfill for decades [34]. This convergence of electrochemical and environmental performance requirements is likely to become a design constraint rather than a differentiating feature as extended producer responsibility regulations tighten globally.

Energy-harvesting supercapacitors, in which a piezoelectric, triboelectric, or photovoltaic harvesting element is monolithically integrated with a supercapacitor storage cell on a single flexible substrate, could eliminate the external charger requirement for body-worn devices entirely. Proof-of-concept demonstrations exist for all three harvesting mechanisms, but the power management electronics needed to efficiently couple a highly variable harvested input to a capacitive storage element and then deliver clean regulated power to a sensor load

remain a significant engineering challenge that is as much circuit design as materials science.

8. Conclusion

The narrative of supercapacitor development over the past two decades is one of genuine materials progress coexisting with stubborn physical constraints. Double-layer capacitors built from activated carbon and organic electrolyte serve hundreds of millions of duty cycles in vehicles and industrial equipment with a reliability that batteries cannot match in those duty cycles, yet their energy density has improved only modestly from the devices fielded in the 1990s. The real advances have come from pseudocapacitive and hybrid electrode chemistries. The foundational work on electrodeposited RuO_2 and CuO thin films by Patake, Lokhande, and colleagues [5,6,7,8] established the material design principles that underpin this class of electrode: film porosity, surface redox accessibility, and the sensitivity of both to deposition method and post-synthesis treatment. Those principles have been extended and amplified in the graphene composite, MXene, and MOF-derived electrode systems that have followed, which now routinely deliver laboratory energy densities of 40 to 80 Wh/kg in hybrid cell configurations.

Three challenges remain structurally difficult regardless of how much electrode capacitance improves. Self-discharge at rates far above those of batteries limits suitability for long-duration storage roles. Voltage balancing in multi-cell stacks adds cost and complexity that partly offsets the simplicity advantage of capacitive storage over battery management systems. And the translation of laboratory electrode performance to manufactured cells at competitive cost has lagged behind the pace of academic publication, a gap common to emerging materials but one that the field has not yet systematically closed. Addressing these challenges will require the same combination of fundamental materials science, device engineering, and process development that brought activated-carbon supercapacitors from laboratory curiosity to billion-dollar market within two decades. There is no obvious reason why advanced electrode systems cannot follow the same trajectory, provided the research community maintains engagement with the manufacturing and systems engineering problems alongside the materials problems.

References

[1] Conway, B.E. (1999). Electrochemical Supercapacitors: Scientific Fundamentals and Technological Applications. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York.

[2] Iqbal, M.Z., et al. (2025). Supercapacitors: An Emerging Energy Storage System. Advanced Energy and Sustainability Research, 6(3), 2400412. https://doi.org/10.1002/aesr.202400412

[3] QY Research. (2024). Global Supercapacitor Market Research Report 2024. QY Research Group.

[4] Shaping the Future of Energy: The Rise of Supercapacitors, Progress in the Last Five Years. (2024). Journal of Energy Storage, 95, 112613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2024.112613

[5] Patake, V.D., Lokhande, C.D. and Joo, O.S. (2009). Electrodeposited ruthenium oxide thin films for supercapacitor: Effect of surface treatments. Applied Surface Science, 255(7), 4192–4196.

[6] Patake, V.D., Joshi, S.S., Lokhande, C.D. and Joo, O.S. (2009). Electrodeposited porous and amorphous copper oxide film for application in supercapacitor. Materials Chemistry and Physics, 114(1), 6–9.

[7] Patake, V.D. and Lokhande, C.D. (2008). Chemical synthesis of nano-porous ruthenium oxide (RuO_2) thin films for supercapacitor application. Applied Surface Science, 254(9), 2820–2824.

[8] Patake, V.D., Pawar, S.M., Shinde, V.R., Gujar, T.P. and Lokhande, C.D. (2010). The growth mechanism and supercapacitor study of anodically deposited amorphous ruthenium oxide films. Current Applied Physics, 10(1), 99–103.

[9] Gite, S., et al. (2023). A Comprehensive Review on Supercapacitors: Basics to Recent Advancements. Journal of Energy Storage, 73, 108912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2023.108912

[10] Shoukat, Waqas & Iqbal, M. & Murtaza, Imran & Kanjariya, Prakash & Rajiv, Asha & Shit, Debasish & Albert, Helen & Samal, Satish & Kumar, Abhinav & Wabaidur, Saikh. (2025). Optimizing hybrid supercapacitor performance through synergistic integration of metal–organic frameworks and metal

oxides. RSC Advances. 15. 25221-25232. https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra00911a

[11] Li, et al. (2025). Recent advances and challenges in hybrid supercapacitors based on metal oxides and carbons. Inorganics, 13(2), 49. https://doi.org/10.3390/inorganics13020049

[12] Sahoo, B.B., et al. (2023). Synthesis, characterization and electrochemical aspects of graphene-based advanced supercapacitor electrodes. Fuel, 345, 128174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.128174

[13] Review on Carbon Nanostructures for Supercapacitors: Cutting-Edge Energy Storage Applications and Perspectives. (2025). Energy and Fuels. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5c01207

[14] Elzbieta Frackowiak, François Béguin, Carbon materials for the electrochemical storage of energy in capacitors, Carbon, Volume 39, Issue 6, 2001, Pages 937-950, ISSN 0008-6223, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6223(00)00183-4

[15] Patel, A., et al. (2024). Review on recent advancements in the role of electrolytes and electrode materials on supercapacitor performances. Discover Nano, 19(1), 188. https://doi.org/10.1186/s11671-024-04125-6

[16] Wang, et al. (2025). Battery-type transition metal oxides in hybrid supercapacitors. Batteries, 11(2), 65. https://doi.org/10.3390/batteries11020065

[17] Snook, Graeme & Kao, Pon & Best, Adam. (2011). Conducting-Polymer-Based Supercapacitor Devices and Electrodes. Journal of Power Sources. 196. 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2010.06.084

[18] Kadam, S., Kadam, K. and Pradhan, N. (2024). Advancements in 2D MXene-based supercapacitor electrodes. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 12(29). https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ta00328d

[19] Recent Advances and Strategies in MXene-Based Electrodes for Supercapacitors. (2024). Nanomaterials, 14(2), 182. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano14020182

[20] Wang, Y., et al. (2023). Recent progress in MXene layers materials for supercapacitors. SmartMat, 4(2), e1130. https://doi.org/10.1002/smm2.1130

[21] Progress of 2D MXene as an Electrode Architecture for Advanced Supercapacitors. (2023). ACS Omega, 8(35), 31483–31504. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c02002

[22] Shoukat, Waqas & Iqbal, M. & Murtaza, Imran & Kanjariya, Prakash & Rajiv, Asha & Shit, Debasish & Albert, Helen & Samal, Satish & Kumar, Abhinav & Wabaidur, Saikh. (2025). Optimizing hybrid supercapacitor performance through synergistic integration of metal–organic frameworks and metal oxides. RSC Advances. 15. 25221-25232. https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra00911a

[23] Zhang, P., et al. (2023). High-voltage, low-temperature supercapacitors enabled by localized water-in-salt electrolyte. eScience, 3(4), 100184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esci.2023.100184

[24] Saleh, et al. (2024). Electrolytes and interface engineering for supercapacitors: recent advances and future outlook. Nanoscale Research Letters, 19(1), 55. https://doi.org/10.1186/s11671-024-03985-2

[25] Pan, S., Yao, M., Zhang, J., et al. (2020). Recognition of ionic liquids as high-voltage electrolytes for supercapacitors. Frontiers in Chemistry, 8, 261. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2020.00261

[26] Ionic liquid gel polymer electrolytes for flexible supercapacitors: Challenges and prospects. (2022). Electrochimica Acta, 425, 140703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2022.140703

[27] Overview of Ionic Liquids and Their Hybrids Operating in Electrochemical Cells and Capacitors. (2024). Ionics, 30(7), 3701–3745. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11581-024-05626-x

[28] Flexible Polymerized Ionic Liquids Gel Polymer Electrolytes for Supercapacitor Application. (2024). In: Ionic Liquids, Recent Advances. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1006272

[29] Comparison of Electrical Properties of Gel Polymer Electrolyte-Based Supercapacitors. (2024). Polymers, 16(24), 3534. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16243534

[30] Ricketts, B.W. & Ton-That, Cuong. (2000). Self-discharge of carbon-based supercapacitors with

organic electrolytes. Ž . Journal of Power Sources. 89. 64-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7753(00)00387-6

[31] Xu S, Gao K, Zhang X, Li K. Double-Layer E-Structure Equalization Circuit for Series Connected Battery Strings. *Energies*. 2019; 12(22):4252. https://doi.org/10.3390/en12224252

[32] Development of Flame-Retardant Ion-Gel Electrolytes for Safe and Flexible Supercapacitors. (2023). Science China Materials, 66(12), 4823–4833. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40843-023-2470-3

[33] Yang, et al. (2025). Zinc-ion hybrid supercapacitors: advances in cathodes, anodes, and electrolytes. Energy and Fuels, 39(4), 1822–1844. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.4c04871

[34] Das, H.T., et al. (2024). Advance technologies in biodegradable flexible solid-state supercapacitors. Chemical Record, 24(1), e202300226. https://doi.org/10.1002/tcr.202300226

*****